

Docket No.: 219295US2RD

OBLON
SPIVAK
McClelland
Maier
Neustadt
P.C.

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22313

RE: Application Serial No.: 10/080,538

Applicants: Takashi WAKUTSU, et al.

Filing Date: February 25, 2002

For: RADIO COMMUNICATION APPARATUS AND

QUALFICATION METHOD OF THE SAME

Group Art Unit: 2682

Examiner: TRAN, TUAN A.

SIR:

Attached hereto for filing are the following papers:

PROVISIONAL ELECTION

Our check in the amount of \$0.00 is attached covering any required fees. In the event any variance exists between the amount enclosed and the Patent Office charges for filing the above-noted documents, including any fees required under 37 C.F.R 1.136 for any necessary Extension of Time to make the filing of the attached documents timely, please charge or credit the difference to our Deposit Account No. 15-0030. Further, if these papers are not considered timely filed, then a petition is hereby made under 37 C.F.R. 1.136 for the necessary extension of time. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND,

MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.Q

Eckhard H. Kuesters

Registration No. 28,870

Customer Number

22850

(703) 413-3000 (phone) (703) 413-2220 (fax)



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE

IN RE APPLICATION OF

:

TAKASHI WAKUTSU, ET AL.

: EXAMINER: TRAN, TUAN A.

SERIAL NO: 10/080,538

FILED: FEBRUARY 25, 2002

: GROUP ART UNIT: 2682

FOR: RADIO COMMUNICATION APPARATUS AND QUALFICATION

METHOD OF THE SAME

PROVISIONAL ELECTION

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22313

SIR:

In response to the Election of Species requirement dated December 16, 2004, the Applicants provisionally elect with traverse Group I identifying Claims 1-30 as readable on the provisionally elected species.

The Applicants respectfully traverse the election requirement.

MPEP § 803 states:

If the search and examination of an entire application can be made without serious burden, the Examiner must examine it on the merits, even though it includes claims to distinct or independent inventions.

The claims of the present invention would appear to be part of an overlapping search area. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully traverse the outstanding Election requirement on the grounds that a search and examination of the entire application would not place a *serious* burden on the Examiner.

Application No. 10/080,538 Reply to Office Action of December 16, 2004

Therefore, it is respectfully requested that the requirement to elect a single species be withdrawn, and that a full examination on the merits of Claims 1-38 be conducted.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND,

MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.

Eckhard H. Kuesters

Attorney of Record Registration No. 28,870

 $\begin{array}{c} \text{Customer Number} \\ 22850 \end{array}$

Tel: (703) 413-3000 Fax: (703) 413 -2220 (OSMMN 06/04)

I:\atty\ath\Prosecution\21's\219295US\219295 Restr Resp.doc